	\cdot
1 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
	DIANN ŠOKOLOFF
3	SUSANA A. GONZALES Deputy Attorney General
4	State Bar No. 253027 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
. 5	P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550
6	Telephone: (510) 622-2221 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	0~
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2012 - 85
12	BARBARA MARRON JOHNSTON 2036 Brush Creek Drive
13	Pittsburg, CA 94565 Registered Nurse License No. 740336 A C C U S A T I O N
14	Respondent.
15	
16	
17	Complainant alleges:
18	<u>PARTIES</u>
19	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of
21	Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about November 21, 2008, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered
23	Nurse License Number 740336 to Barbara Marron Johnston (Respondent). The Registered Nurse
24	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation
25	and will expire on May 31, 2012, unless renewed.
26	
27	
28	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811(b) of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.

Section 2750 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.

- 6. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under Code section 2811, subdivision (b), the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.
- 7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

8. Section 2761 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

"(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions."
 - 9. Section 2762 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

- "(a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022."
 - 10. Code section 4060 provides, in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, [or] a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1..."

- 11. Section 11173, of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part that:
- "(a) No person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by concealment of a material fact."
 - 12. Section 11190, of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part that:
- "(a) Every practitioner, other than a pharmacist, who prescribes or administers a controlled substance classified in Schedule II shall make a record that, as to the transaction, shows all of the following:
 - (1) The name and address of the patient.
 - (2) The date.

21 ·

physical dependence, and tolerance may develop upon repeated administration of Demerol and it should be prescribed and administered with the same degree of caution appropriate to the use of Morphine.

18. "Dilaudid," also known by its trade name, Hydromorphone, is classified as a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(J), and a dangerous drug as defined by Code section 4022. It is indicated for the treatment of moderate to severe pain.

COST RECOVERY

19. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FACTS

20. From on or about July 10, 2010, until on or about August 27, 2010, Respondent was employed as a registered nurse by AMN Healthcare (AMN), a healthcare staffing agency, and assigned to Sutter Delta Medical Center (SDMC) in Antioch, California. On or about September 2, 2010, Respondent was terminated from her employment with AMN. On or about September 2, 2010, the Board received a complaint alleging that Respondent was suspected of abusing drugs when it was discovered that she pulled Demerol for a patient in the Emergency Room (ER) at SDMC. According to the complaint, Demerol had not been used in SDMC's ER for over one year. A subsequent chart investigation of Respondent revealed multiple instances where Respondent withdrew large amounts of narcotics for use in patients that did not have a physician's order for the narcotics. SDMC records also revealed that Respondent withdrew narcotics for patients that were not assigned to her care. Furthermore, there were several instances where Respondent failed to document administering or wasting the drugs she removed, and failed to otherwise account for the drugs. The following are examples of Respondent's narcotic discrepancies and inconsistencies as revealed by SDMC's records:

PATIENT A

- a. On or about August 25, 2010, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis 100 milligrams of Demerol for Patient A. Patient A did not have a physician's order for Demerol. Respondent did not document administering or wasting the Demerol, and she did not otherwise account for any of the Demerol that she removed for Patient A.
- b. On or about August 25, 2010, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis 4 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient A. Patient A did not a physician's order for Dilaudid. Respondent did not document administering or wasting the Dilaudid, and she did not otherwise account for any of the Dilaudid that she removed for Patient A. Patient A was 98 years old.

PATIENT B

- c. On or about August 26, 2010, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis 100 milligrams of Demerol for Patient B. Patient B did not have a physician's order for Demerol. Respondent failed to document administering or wasting the Demerol, and she did not otherwise account for any of the Demerol that she removed for Patient B. Patient B was not assigned to Respondent's care.
- d. On or about August 26, 2010, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis 4 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient B. Patient B did not a physician's order for Dilaudid. Respondent did not document administering or wasting the Dilaudid, and she did not otherwise account for any of the Dilaudid that she removed for Patient B. Patient B was not assigned to Respondent's care.

PATIENT C

e. On or about August 12, 2010, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis 4 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient C. Patient C did not have a physician's order for Dilaudid. Respondent did not document administering or wasting the Dilaudid, and she did not otherwise account for any of the Dilaudid that she removed for Patient C.

PATIENT D

f. On or about July 10, 2010, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis a total of 12 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient D. Respondent conducted three separate Pyxis transactions and removed 4 milligrams of Dilaudid with each transaction. Respondent documented in Patient

2.5

D's medical record that she administered 1 milligram of Dilaudid to Patient D. Respondent did not document wasting any of the Dilaudid, and she failed to account for the remaining 11 milligrams of Dilaudid that she removed.

PATIENT E

g. On or about August 27, 2010, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis 8 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient E. Respondent did not document administering or wasting the Dilaudid, and she did not otherwise account for any of the Dilaudid that she removed. Patient E was not assigned to Respondent's care.

PATIENT F

h. On or about August 26, 2010, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis 100 milligrams of Demerol for Patient F. Patient F did not have a physician's order for Demerol. Respondent failed to document administering or wasting the Demerol, and she did not otherwise account for any of the Demerol that she removed for Patient F. Patient F was 13 years old and was not assigned to Respondent's care.

PATIENT G

- i. On or about August 27, 2010, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis 4 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient G. Patient G did not have a physician's order for Demerol. Respondent failed to document administering or wasting the Dilaudid, and she did not otherwise account for any of the Dilaudid that she removed for Patient G. Patient G was 7 months old and was not assigned to Respondent's care.
- j. On or about August 27, 2010, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis 100 milligrams of Demerol for Patient G. Patient G did not have a physician's order for Demerol. Respondent failed to document administering or wasting the Demerol, and she did not otherwise account for any of the Demerol that she removed for Patient G. Patient G was 7 months old and was not assigned to Respondent's care.

PATIENT H

k. On or about August 26, 2010, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis 100 milligrams of Demerol for Patient H. Patient H did not have a physician's order for Demerol. Respondent

1	failed to document administering or wasting the Demerol, and she did not otherwise account for
2	any of the Demerol that she removed for Patient H. Patient H was not assigned to Respondent's
3	care.
4 5	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct – Obtaining or Possessing Controlled Substances) (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 2761, subd. (a), 2762, subd. (a), 4060)
6	21. Complainant realleges the allegations contained in paragraph 20 and each of its
7	subparts above, and incorporates them as if fully set forth here.
8	22. Respondent has subjected her registered nurse license to disciplinary action under
9	Code section 2761, subdivision (a), as defined by Code section 2762, subdivision (a), in that she
10	unlawfully obtained controlled substances in violation of Code section 4060, as described in
1	paragraph 20 and each of its subparts. The circumstances are as follows:
12	a. Respondent unlawfully obtained and possessed Demerol and Dilaudid in
13	violation of Code section 4060.
۱4	b. Respondent unlawfully obtained Demerol and Dilaudid by fraud, deceit,
15	misrepresentation, subterfuge and/or by the concealment of a material fact, in violation of Health
16	and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a).
17	c. Respondent unlawfully obtained Demerol and Dilaudid without the written
18	prescription of a physician, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision
9	(a)(2).
20	d. Respondent failed to make the proper record for Demerol and Dilaudid,
21	Schedule II controlled substances, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11190,
22	subdivision (a):.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Gross Negligence and/or Incompetence in the Practice of Nursing)
(Bus. & Prof. Code § 2761, subd. (a)(1))

- 23. Complainant realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 20 through 22 and each of their subparts above, and incorporates them as if fully set forth here.
- 24. Respondent has subjected her registered nurse license to disciplinary action under Code section 2761, subdivision (a)(1), in that she was grossly negligent or incompetent, or both, in her nursing practice. The circumstances of Respondent's negligence or incompetence, or both are set forth in paragraphs 20 through 22 and their subparts, above.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

25. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
Complainant alleges that on or about February 23, 2000, in a disciplinary action before the State
of Florida Board of Nursing (Florida Board), Case Number 99-04811, the Florida Board entered a
Final Order accepting Respondent's voluntary relinquishment of her Florida registered nurse
license. Upon receipt of a uniform complaint from the Intervention Project for Nurses, the
Florida Board initiated an investigation to determine whether disciplinary action against
Respondent was warranted. According the Investigative Report in this case, which was accepted
into the Florida Board's Final Order as its findings of fact, Respondent was dismissed from an
Intervention Project for Nurses due to her non-compliance. Respondent admitted to possession,
use, and diversion of controlled substances from her former place of employment at Emerald
Oaks in Sarasota, Florida. Respondent was notified of the Florida Board's investigation by a
letter dated September 9, 1999. On or about October 21, 1999, Respondent filed a "Voluntary
Relinquishment of License" with the Florida Board in order to avoid the necessity of further
administrative proceedings.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 740336, issued to Barbara
 Marron Johnston;
- 2. Ordering Barbara Marron Johnston to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: august 9, 2011

LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN

Executive Officer

Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2011900246 90199972.doc